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Introduction esophageal varices

Esophageal varices are Porto-systemic collaterals — i.e., vascular channels that link the portal venous and the systemic venous circulation. They form as a consequence of portal hypertension (a progressive complication of cirrhosis), preferentially in the sub mucosa of the lower esophagus. Rupture and bleeding from esophageal varices are major complications of portal hypertension and are associated with a high mortality rate. Variceal bleeding accounts for 10–30% of all cases of upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

1.1 WGO Cascades — a resource-sensitive approach

A gold standard approach is feasible for regions and countries where the full scale of diagnostic tests and medical treatment options are available for the management of esophageal varices. However, throughout much of the world, such resources are not available. With Diagnostic and Treatment Cascades the WGO Guidelines provide a resource sensitive approach.

Cascade: a hierarchical set of alternative diagnostic, therapeutic and management options to deal with risk and disease - ranked by resources available.

1.2 Epidemiology

Although varices may form in any location along the tubular gastrointestinal tract, they most often appear in the distal few centimeters of the esophagus. Approximately 50% of patients with cirrhosis develop gastroesophageal varices. Gastric varices are present in 5–33% of patients with portal hypertension.

The frequency of esophageal varices varies from 30% to 70% in patients with cirrhosis (Table 1), and 9–36% of patients have what are known as “high-risk” varices. Esophageal varices develop in patients with cirrhosis at an annual rate of 5–8%, but the varices are large enough to pose a risk of bleeding in only 1–2% of cases. Approximately 4–30% of patients with small varices will develop large varices each year and will therefore be at risk of bleeding.
Table 1 Epidemiology of esophageal varices and correlation with liver disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epidemiology</th>
<th>Correlation between the presence of varices and the severity of liver disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• At the time of diagnosis, approximately 30% of cirrhotic patients have</td>
<td>• Child–Pugh A patients: 40% have varices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esophageal varices, reaching 90% after approximately 10 years</td>
<td>• Child–Pugh C patients: 85% have varices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bleeding from esophageal varices is associated with a mortality rate of at</td>
<td>• Some patients may develop varices and hemorrhage early in the course of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>least 20% at 6 weeks, although bleeding ceases spontaneously in up to 40% of</td>
<td>disease, even in the absence of cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patients1</td>
<td>• Patients with hepatitis C and bridging fibrosis: 16% have esophageal varices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Variceal hemorrhage is the most common fatal complication of cirrhosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of gastroesophageal varices correlates with the severity of liver disease. The severity of cirrhosis can be scored using the Child–Pugh classification system (Table 2).

Table 2 Child-Pugh classification of the severity of cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encephalopathy</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>3 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Grade 1–2</td>
<td>Grade 3–4</td>
<td>(chronic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Mild/moderate (diuretic-responsive)</td>
<td>Tense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>&gt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>&gt; 3.5</td>
<td>2.3–3.5</td>
<td>&lt; 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT (seconds prolonged)</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>&gt; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>&lt; 1.7</td>
<td>1.7–2.3</td>
<td>&gt; 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cirrhosis class is based on the total score – the prognosis is directly related to the score:

- Class A: total score 5 or 6
- Class B: total score 7–9
- Class C: total score 10 or higher

INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin time.

1.3 Natural history

A cirrhosis patient who does not have varices has not yet developed portal hypertension, or his or her portal pressure is not yet high enough for varices to develop. As portal pressure increases, the patient may progress to having small varices. With time, and as the hyperdynamic circulation increases, blood flow through the varices will increase, thus raising the tension in the wall. Variceal hemorrhage resulting from rupture occurs when the expanding force exceeds the maximal wall tension. If there is no modification in the tension of the wall, there will be a high risk of recurrence.

Table 3 – Prognosis in patients with esophageal varices

- Approximately 30% of patients with esophageal varices will bleed within the first year after diagnosis. The mortality resulting from bleeding episodes depends on the severity of the underlying liver disease
- The mortality resulting from any bleeding episode may range from < 10% in well-compensated cirrhotic patients with Child–Pugh grade A to > 70% in those in the advanced Child–Pugh C cirrhotic stage. The risk of re-bleeding is high, reaching 80%
within 1 year

- Patients with a hepatic venous pressure gradient > 20 mmHg within 24 h of variceal hemorrhage, in comparison with those with lower pressure, are at higher risk for recurrent bleeding within the first week of admission, or of failure to control bleeding (83% vs. 29%) and have a higher 1-year mortality rate (64% vs. 20%)
- Approximately 60% of untreated patients develop “late rebleeding” within 1–2 years of the index hemorrhage

Figure 1 – Natural history of varices and hemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No varices</th>
<th>( HVPG \text{ normal} &lt; 10 \text{ mmHg} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small varices - No hemorrhage</td>
<td>( HVPG \geq 10 \text{ mmHg} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varices development rate 8% per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large varices - No hemorrhage</td>
<td>( \text{Hyper dynamic circulation} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| | Progression from small to large 8% per year
| Variceal hemorrhage | \( \text{Pressure} > \text{variceal wall tension} \) |
| | \( \text{Esophageal hemorrhage 5–15% per year} \) |
| | Bleeding in patients with \textit{gastric} varices is reported in approximately 25% in 2 years (higher for IGV1 and GOV2)\(^3\). |
| Recurrent hemorrhage | \( \text{Persistence of portal pressure and variceal status} \) |

\( HVPG = \text{hepatic venous pressure gradient}; \) IGV = isolated gastric varices in absence of esophageal varices located in gastric fundus; GOV2 = gastroesophageal varices extending along greater curvature toward gastric fundus

1.4 Risk factors

An international normalized ratio (INR) score > 1.5, a portal vein diameter of > 13 mm, and thrombocytopenia have been found to be predictive of the likelihood of varices being present in cirrhotics. If none, one, two, or all three of these conditions are met, then < 10%, 20–50%, 40–60%, and > 90% of the patients are estimated to have varices, respectively. The presence of one or more of these conditions represents an indication for endoscopy to search for varices and carry out primary prophylaxis against bleeding in cirrhotic patients (Table 4).

Table 4 – Risk factors for esophageal varices and hemorrhage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development of varices</th>
<th>( \text{High portal vein pressure: } HVPG &gt; 10 \text{ mmHg in patients who have no varices at initial endoscopic screening} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progression from small to large varices</td>
<td>( \text{Decompensated cirrhosis (Child-Pugh B/C)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{Alcoholic cirrhosis} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{Presence of red wale marks at baseline endoscopy (=longitudinal dilated venules resembling whip marks on the variceal surface)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{Initial variceal bleeding episode} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Large varices (>5 mm) with red color signs
• High CTP or MELD score
• Continuing alcohol consumption
• High HVPG >16 mm Hg
• Coagulopathy

2 Diagnosis and differential diagnosis

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy is the gold standard for the diagnosis of esophageal varices. If the gold standard is not available, other possible diagnostic steps would be Doppler ultrasonography of the blood circulation (not endoscopic ultrasonography). Although this is a poor second choice, it can certainly demonstrate the presence of varices. Further alternatives include radiography/barium swallow of the esophagus and stomach, and portal vein angiography and manometry.

It is important to assess the location (esophagus or stomach) and size of the varices, signs of imminent, first acute, or recurrent bleeding, and (if applicable) to consider the cause and severity of liver disease.

Table 5 - Guideline for diagnosing esophageal varices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>A screening esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) for the diagnosis of esophageal and gastric varices is recommended when a diagnosis of cirrhosis has been made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Surveillance endoscopies are recommended on the basis of the level of cirrhosis and the presence and size of the varices:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with Compensated cirrhosis</td>
<td>Repeat EGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No varices</td>
<td>Every 2–3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small varices</td>
<td>Every 1–2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompensated cirrhosis</td>
<td>Yearly intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Progression of gastrointestinal varices can be determined on the basis of the size classification at the time of EGD. In practice, the recommendations for medium-sized varices in the three-size classification are the same as for large varices in the two-size classification:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of varix</td>
<td>Two-size classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>&gt; 5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Variceal hemorrhage is diagnosed on the basis of one of the following findings on endoscopy:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Active bleeding from a varix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “White nipple” overlying a varix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clots overlying a varix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Varices with no other potential source of bleeding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Differential diagnosis of esophageal varices/hemorrhage

The differential diagnosis for variceal hemorrhage includes all etiologies of (upper) gastrointestinal bleeding. Peptic ulcers are also more frequent in cirrhotics.
Table 6 - Differential diagnosis of esophageal varices/hemorrhage

- Schistosomiasis
- Severe congestive heart failure
- Hemochromatosis
- Wilson disease
- Autoimmune hepatitis
- Portal/splenic vein thrombosis
- Sarcoidosis
- Budd–Chiari syndrome
- Chronic pancreatitis
- Hepatitis B
- Hepatitis C
- Alcoholic cirrhosis
- Primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC)
- Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC)

*Note: all of these lead to the development of esophageal varices as a result of portal hypertension.*

2.2 Example from Africa — esophageal varices caused by schistosomiasis

Schistosomiasis is the most common cause of varices in the setting of developing countries — in Egypt or the Sudan, for example. In absolute numbers, it may be a more common cause than liver cirrhosis. In the Sudan, there are villages in which over 30% of the population have varices. Their liver function is well maintained. They rarely decompensate and do not develop hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Bleeding from varices is the main cause of death in these patients. If the varices are eradicated, the patients can survive more than 25 years.

2.3 Other considerations

Table 7 - Considerations in the diagnosis, prevention, and management of esophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage

**Screening esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in cirrhotic patients**

- The presence of high-grade varices or red wale marks may be an indication for prophylactic banding
- Many who undergo screening EGD do not have varices or do not require prophylactic therapy
- Expensive; requires sedation
- Can be avoided in cirrhotic patients with nonselective β-blocker treatment for arterial hypertension or other reasons

**Noninvasive markers — e.g., platelet count, FibroTest, spleen size, portal vein diameter, transient elastography**

- Predictive accuracy still unsatisfactory

**β-Blocker therapy**

- Cost-effective form of prophylactic therapy
- Does not prevent development or growth from small to large varices
- Has significant side effects
- Patients receiving a selective β-blocker (metoprolol, atenolol) for other reasons should switch to a nonselective β-blocker (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol)*

3 Management of varices and hemorrhage

The following treatment options are available in the management of esophageal varices and hemorrhage (Tables 8 and 9). Although they are effective in stopping
bleeding, none of these measures, with the exception of endoscopic therapy, has been shown to affect mortality.

### Table 8 – Pharmacological therapy

**Splanchnic vasoconstrictors**
- Vasopressin (analogues)
- Somatostatin (analogues)
- Non-cardioselective β-blockers

Pharmacotherapy with somatostatin (analogues) is effective in stopping hemorrhage, at least temporarily, in up to 80% of patients. Somatostatin may be superior to its analogue octreotide. About 30% of patients do not respond to β-blockers with a reduction in the hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG), despite adequate dosing. These non-responders can only be detected by invasive HVPG measurements. Moreover, β-blockers may cause side effects such as fatigue and impotence, which may impair compliance (especially in younger males), or β-blockers may be contraindicated for other reasons.

**Venodilators**
- Nitrates

Nitrates alone are not recommended. Isosorbide 5-mononitrate reduces portal pressure, but its use in cirrhotic patients is limited by its systemic vasodilatory effects, often leading to a further decrease in blood pressure and potentially to (prerenal) impairment of kidney function.

**Vasoconstrictors and vasodilators**
- Combination therapy leads to a synergistic effect in reducing portal pressure

Combining isosorbide 5-mononitrate with nonselective β-blockers has been shown to have additive effects in lowering portal pressure and to be particularly effective in patients who do not respond to initial therapy with β-blockers alone. However, these beneficial effects may be outweighed by detrimental effects on kidney function and long-term mortality, especially in those aged over 50. Routine use of combination therapy is therefore not recommended.

- The use of vasoactive drugs may be safe and effective whenever endoscopic therapy is not promptly available and is associated with less adverse events than emergency sclerotherapy.

### Table 9 – Endoscopic therapy

**Local therapies**
- Endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) or sclerotherapy
  - No effect on portal flow or resistance

**Shunting therapy**
- Surgical or radiological (Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt, TIPS)
  - Reduces portal pressure

- Endoscopic sclerotherapy and variceal band ligation are effective in stopping bleeding in up to 90% of patients. EVL is more effective than endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy (EVS) with greater control of hemorrhage, lower rebleeding, and lower adverse events but without differences in mortality. However, endoscopic band ligation may be more difficult to apply than sclerotherapy in patients with severe active bleeding.

- A transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is a good alternative when endoscopic treatment and pharmacotherapy fail.

- The use of balloon tamponade is decreasing, as there is a high risk of rebleeding after deflation and a risk of major complications. Nevertheless, balloon tamponade is effective in most cases in stopping hemorrhage at least temporarily, and it can be used in regions of the world where EGD and TIPS are not readily available. It can help stabilize the patient in order to gain time and access to EGD and/or TIPS later.

- Combined endoscopic and pharmacologic treatment is shown to achieve better control of acute bleeding than endoscopic treatment alone.
3.1 **Clinical practice**

The approach in patients with cirrhosis and various stages of varices/hemorrhage is shown in the following figures.

**Figure 2 - Patients with cirrhosis but no varices. EGD, esophago-gastroduodenoscopy**

- **No varices**
  - β-blockers do not prevent varices
  - Repeat EGD in 3 years
  - Immediate EGD if hepatic decompensation occurs

**Figure 3 - Patients with cirrhosis and small varices, but no hemorrhage.**

- **Increased risk of hemorrhage:** Child B/C or presence of red wale marks
  - Nonselective β-blockers for prevention first variceal hemorrhage

- **No increased risk**
  - β-blockers can be used – long-term benefits not established
  - In case of hepatic decompensation: EGD at once; repeat annually

- **Not receiving β-blockers**
  - Repeat EGD in 2 years

- **Patients on β-blockers**
  - Follow-up EGD not necessary*

*Because many patients do not respond to β-blocker treatment or bleeding prophylaxis, it is recommended that EGD be repeated after 2 years (as for those not receiving β-blockers).

**Figure 4 - Patients with cirrhosis and medium or large varices, but no hemorrhage. EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation.**

- **High risk of hemorrhage:** Child B/C or variceal red wale markings
  - β blockers (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol) or EVL recommended for prevention first variceal hemorrhage

- **Not at highest risk: Child A patients and no red signs**
  - Nonselective β blockers (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol) preferred
  - In case of contraindications, intolerance, non-compliance: consider EVL

- Non-cardioselective β-blockers (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol), starting at a low dosage, if necessary increasing the dose step by step until a reduction in the resting heart rate of 25%, but not lower than 55 beats/min, is reached.
- In comparison with β-blockers, endoscopic variceal ligation was found to reduce bleeding episodes and severe adverse events significantly, but it had no effect on the mortality rate.
**Figure 5 – Patients with cirrhosis and acute variceal hemorrhage.**

**EMERGENCY SCHEME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resuscitation measures</th>
<th>Next 12-24 hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV volume support</td>
<td>Within 12 hours:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>• Confirm diagnosis with EGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotic prophylaxis (up to 7 days)</strong></td>
<td>• Treat VH with EVL or sclerotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oral norfloxacin (400 mg BID)</td>
<td>In uncontrollable bleeding or recurrence:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Or IV ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>• TIPS indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Or IV ceftriaxone (1g/day) in advanced cirrhosis</td>
<td>In uncontrollable bleeding while waiting for TIPS or endoscopic therapy:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmacological therapy–continue 2-5 days after confirmed diagnosis</strong></td>
<td>• Balloon tamponade as temporizing measure for 24 hours maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Terlipressin(^{11}) (2 mg every 4 hrs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Or somatostatin (or octreotide, vapreotide)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BID, bis in die/twice a day; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; IV, intravenous; TIPS, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; VH, variceal hemorrhage.

Terlipressin is currently available in much of Europe, India, Australia, and the UAE, but not in the United States or Canada.

- Acute variceal hemorrhage is often associated with bacterial infection due to gut translocation and motility disturbances. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy has been shown to reduce bacterial infections, variceal rebleeding\(^{12}\), and increase the survival rate\(^{13}\).
- In acute or massive variceal bleeding, tracheal intubation can be extremely helpful to avoid bronchial aspiration of blood.
- In patients with variceal hemorrhage in the gastric fundus: endoscopic variceal obliteration using tissue adhesives (such as cyanoacrylate) is preferred; the second choice is EVL.
- TIPS should be considered in uncontrollable fundavariceal bleeding or recurrence despite combined pharmacological and endoscopic therapy.
- Emergency sclerotherapy is not better than pharmacological therapy for acute variceal bleeding in cirrhosis.
- Terlipressin reduces failure to control bleeding and mortality,\(^{14}\) and should be the first choice for pharmacological therapy when available. Where terlipressin is not available, somatostatin, octreotide, and vapreotide could be used.
- Treating esophageal bleeding with somatostatin analogues does not appear to reduce deaths, but may lessen the need for blood transfusions.

**Figure 6 – Patients with cirrhosis who have recovered from acute variceal hemorrhage.**

- **Secondary prophylaxis**
  - Nonselective β-blockers plus EVL
  - Adjust β-blocker to maximal tolerated dose
  - Repeat EVL every 1-2 weeks until obliteration with EGD at 1-3 months
- **In Child A/B patients with recurrent hemorrhage despite combination therapy**
  - Consider surgical shunt in Child A patients
  - Refer to transplant center for evaluation
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- Long-term endoscopic control and banding or sclerotherapy of recurrent varices every 3–6 months (in many places in the developing world, only sclerotherapy will be available). If endoscopic band ligation is not available or contraindicated, non cardioselective β-blockers (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol) starting at a low dosage and if necessary increasing the dosage step by step until a reduction in the resting heart rate by 25%, but not lower than 55 beats/min, is achieved.
- In younger patients with less advanced cirrhosis (Child–Pugh A), the addition of isosorbide 5-mononitrate (starting at 2 × 20 mg per day and increasing to 2 × 40 mg per day) may be considered if sclerotherapy or pharmacotherapy fail. TIPS should be considered, especially in candidates for liver transplantation. In selected cases (patients with well-preserved liver function, stable liver disease), a calibrated H graft or a distal splenorenal shunt (Warren shunt) may be considered.
- Portosystemic shunts are associated with lower rates of variceal rebleeding in comparison with sclerotherapy/banding, but they increase the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy.\(^{15}\)
- Liver transplantation should always be considered if the patient has Child–Pugh grades B or C.

**Recommendations for first-line management of cirrhotic patients at each stage in the natural history of varices** (Fig. 7)

Figure 7 – Recommendations for first-line management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No varices</td>
<td>Repeat Endoscopy in 2-3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small varices - No hemorrhage</td>
<td>Repeat Endoscopy in 1-2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium/large varices - No hemorrhage</td>
<td>β-blockers (propranolol, nadolol, or carvedilol) EVL if β-blockers are not tolerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variceal hemorrhage</td>
<td>Specific therapy: safe vasoactive drug + EVL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent hemorrhage</td>
<td>β-blockers +/- ISMN or EVL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; ISMN, Isosorbide 5-mononitrate.

### 3.2 Cascade for treatment

A cascade is a hierarchical set of diagnostic or therapeutic techniques for the same disease, ranked by the resources available.

As outlined above, several therapeutic options are effective in most clinical situations involving acute variceal hemorrhage, as well as in secondary and primary prophylaxis against it. The optimal therapy in an individual setting very much depends on the relative ease of local availability of these methods and techniques. This is likely to vary widely in different parts of the world.

If endoscopy is not readily available, one has to resort to pharmacotherapy in any case of suspected variceal bleeding — e.g., in patients with hematemesis and signs of cirrhosis. Similarly, pharmacological therapy might be administered in circumstances such as primary prophylaxis in a cirrhotic patient with signs of portal hypertension.
(splenomegaly, thrombocytopenia) and/or impaired liver function, and as secondary prophylaxis in a cirrhotic patient with a history of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. If pharmacotherapy is also not available and variceal bleeding is suspected, one must resort to general resuscitation measures and transport the patient as soon as possible to an institution where the necessary diagnostic/therapeutic means are available; balloon tamponade could be extremely helpful in such a situation.

**Figure 8 – Cascade for the treatment of acute esophageal variceal hemorrhage.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource level</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold Standard</td>
<td>Band ligation + vasoactive IV drug therapy:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>octreotide or terlipressin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Band ligation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Balloon therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV, intravenous.

**Note:** The combination of band ligation and sclerotherapy is not routinely used except when the bleeding is too extensive for a vessel to be identified for banding. In such cases, sclerotherapy can be carried out in order to control the bleeding and clear the field sufficiently for banding to be done afterward.

**Caution:** There are many conditions that can lead to esophageal varices. There are also many treatment options, depending on the resources available. For a resource-sensitive approach to treatment in Africa, for example, Fedail (2002) can be consulted.

### 3.3 Example from Africa — esophageal varices and schistosomiasis

**Table 10 - Treatment of esophageal varices caused by schistosomiasis**

- Resuscitate and provide intravenous volume support and blood transfusion (caution: there is a risk of over-transfusion)
- Carry out balloon tamponade — e.g., with a Sengstaken tube — even if endoscopic facilities are not available for diagnosing varices
- Transfer the patient to the nearest district hospital with endoscopy facilities
- Carry out endoscopy and sclerotherapy
- The cheapest agent is ethanolamine olate, which can be prepared in the hospital pharmacy
- Propranolol (for life) and iron therapy as needed
- Band ligaters vary in price; the cheapest method is probably to reload the rubber ligators for reuse
- Histoacryl is the preferred product in many African countries. Cheap products are available from India, where sterile sesame oil is used instead of Lipiodol

**Note:** therapy with vasoactive drugs is unrealistic in most developing countries. In the Sudan, for example, 1 mg terlipressin (Glypressin) costs the equivalent of 25% of the salary of a house physician and about the same as a year’s salary for a government employee.
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